

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,172	01/16/2001	Charles W. Schibi		7020
7:	590 10/22/2002			
G. Turner Moller			EXAMINER	
Suite 720 711 North Carancahua			LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON	
Corpus Christi,	TX 78475		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N
Advisory Action	09/764,172	SCHIBI, CHARLES W.	1
,	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Frederick L. Lagman	3673	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 9/19/02 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	d
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data was been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by the Office later than three models.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee un the final Office action; or (2) as set fort	e der h in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) M they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): <u>35 U.S.C. 112(2)</u> .		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendm	ent
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT place th	ie
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: 25.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13,15-24 and 26-28</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☑ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>04 June</u>	2002 is a)⊠ approved or b) \Box	disapproved by the Examine	∍r.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. ☐ Other:	. ,,		-
		1-11	_
		Frederick L. Lagman Examiner Art Unit: 3673	



Continuation of 2. NOTE: As to claims 1, 17, and 28, the recitation of "joints extending transverse to the length of the canal" or "extending across the width of the canal" would raise new matter issues and potential 35 U.S.C. 112(1st) rejections because the joints appear to extend along the length of the cana. As to the amendment to claim 14, claim 14 was cancelled in the amendment filed 6/4/02 As to claim 20, the recitation of the "sides having a width wider than the canal" would raise new matter issues and potential 35 U.S.C. 112(1st) rejections because it appears that the connection of first and sections would r sult in a width wider than the canal, but an individual section would not have a width wider than the canal.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Japanese '718 in view of Japanese '499 discloses liners; wherein a liner may be connected to another liner via fasteners extending through a tab as shown in figure 3 of Japanese '499. Such connection would allow fasteners that extend through the tab and into a base such as a wall; wherein the fastener would not puncture the membrane. As shown in figure 3, the fastener extends through the tabs and the head of the fastener lies betwee the tabs and the membrane.